

College of Arts and Sciences



Drexel E-Repository and Archive (iDEA)

<http://idea.library.drexel.edu/>

Drexel University Libraries

www.library.drexel.edu

The following item is made available as a courtesy to scholars by the author(s) and Drexel University Library and may contain materials and content, including computer code and tags, artwork, text, graphics, images, and illustrations (Material) which may be protected by copyright law. Unless otherwise noted, the Material is made available for non profit and educational purposes, such as research, teaching and private study. For these limited purposes, you may reproduce (print, download or make copies) the Material without prior permission. All copies must include any copyright notice originally included with the Material. **You must seek permission from the authors or copyright owners for all uses that are not allowed by fair use and other provisions of the U.S. Copyright Law.** The responsibility for making an independent legal assessment and securing any necessary permission rests with persons desiring to reproduce or use the Material.

Please direct questions to archives@drexel.edu

A LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM IN THE THEORY OF OVERPARTITIONS

SYLVIE CORTEEL, WILLIAM M. Y. GOH AND PAWEŁ HITCZENKO

ABSTRACT. An overpartition of an integer n is a partition where the last occurrence of a part can be overlined. We study the weight of the overlined parts of an overpartition counted with or without their multiplicities. This is a continuation of a work by Corteel and Hitczenko where it was shown that the expected weight of the overlined parts is asymptotic to $n/3$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that the expected weight of the overlined parts counted with multiplicity is $n/2$. Here we refine these results. We first compute the asymptotics of the variance of the weight of the overlined parts counted with multiplicity. We then asymptotically evaluate the probability that the weight of the overlined parts is $n/3 \pm k$ for $k = o(n)$ and the probability that the weight of the overlined parts counted with multiplicity is $n/2 \pm k$ for $k = o(n)$. The first computation is straightforward and uses known asymptotics of partitions. The second one is more involved and requires a sieve argument and the application of the saddle point method. From that we can directly evaluate the probability that two random partitions of n do not share a part.

AMS classification : 05A17, 60C05, 11P82.

Keywords : Partitions, Combinatorial Probability, Local Limit Theorem, Asymptotic Analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

An overpartition of the integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers that sums to n where the last occurrence of an integer can be overlined. The quantity n is called the weight. Given an overpartition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ we call the λ_i s the parts. The number of part sizes is the number of distinct integers that occur in the overpartition. The multiplicity of the part i is the number of occurrences of the part i in λ (overlined or not). The weight of the overlined parts is the sum of the overlined parts and the weight of the overlined parts counted with multiplicity is the sum of the sizes of the overlined parts multiplied by their multiplicity. For example, $\lambda = (5, \bar{4}, 2, 2, \bar{2}, 1)$ is an overpartition of 16. It has 6 parts, the multiplicity of the part 2 is 3. The weight of the overlined parts is 6 and the weight of the overlined parts counted with their multiplicities is $10 = 4 + 2 \cdot 3$. We denote by $\bar{p}(n)$ the number of overpartitions of n .

Overpartitions were named by Corteel and Lovejoy [10, 12, 13] and used to give the first combinatorial proofs of the q -Gauss identity and Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation [12]. They have been studied using combinatorial, q -series and number theoretical techniques under different names and guises (superpartitions, jagged partitions, joint partitions, 2-modular diagrams ...) [4, 6, 14, 18, 22, 23, 24, 27]. First asymptotic and probabilistic results on overpartitions were presented in [11].

This work was supported in part by the NSF Grant INT-0230800. The work of the third author was also partially supported by the NSA Grants MSPF-02G-043 and MSPF-04G-054.

The purpose of this work is to study the weight of the overlined parts counted with, or without, their multiplicity. As is customary we consider the uniform probability measure on the set of all overpartitions of n , and by random overpartition of n we will mean an overpartition picked according to that measure. We denote by \overline{W}_n (resp. \overline{M}_n) the weight of the overlined parts (resp. counted with their multiplicity) of a random overpartition of n .

From [11, Theorem 1.4], we have information on the expectation of these variables.

Lemma 1. *The expected weight $E\overline{W}_n$ of the overlined parts of an overpartition of n is asymptotically equivalent to $n/3$ as n goes to infinity.*

Lemma 2. *The expected weight $E\overline{M}_n$ of the overlined parts counted with their multiplicity of an overpartition of n is $n/2$.*

The first lemma is only asymptotic. The second lemma is true for any n , thanks to an easy combinatorial involution presented in Section 2 below. Of course one may hope for more precise information about these two random variables than merely their expected values. Surprisingly it is very easy to obtain more information on \overline{W}_n and we will present these results in Section 2. On the contrary it seems much harder to find out more about \overline{M}_n and this will be the main task of the paper. We first present some probabilistic arguments to study the variance of \overline{M}_n :

Theorem 1. *The variance of the weight of the overlined parts, counted with multiplicities, in a random overpartition of n satisfies:*

$$\text{var}(\overline{M}_n) \sim \frac{4}{3\pi} n^{3/2},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Our ultimate goal, however, is to establish a central limit theorem for \overline{M}_n . In fact, we will obtain a strong version, usually referred to as a local limit theorem in probabilistic literature.

By means of heuristic introduction, as to what one might expect let us suppose that (Y_n) is a sequence of integer valued random variables such that $EY_n = 0$ and $\sigma_n^2 = \text{var}(Y_n) < \infty$. If the normalized sequence Y_n/σ_n satisfies a (strong version of the) central limit theorem then, generally speaking, we expect that

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{P}(Y_n = k) \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{k^2}{2\sigma_n^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_n},$$

for k satisfying $-k_n \leq k \leq k_n$. Of particular interest is a situation where k_n may be chosen so that $\text{var}(Y_n)/k_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since then, by Chebyshev's inequality, the probabilities (1) cover essentially the whole mass of the distribution of Y_n . (In our case since the variance of \overline{M}_n is of order $n^{3/2}$ we would like k_n to go to infinity at a rate faster than $n^{3/4}$.) Perhaps the oldest and best known result of this type is the celebrated De Moivre – Laplace theorem. We refer the reader to [17, Ch. VII, Sec. 2 and 3] or [25, Ch. I, Sec. 6] for nice presentations of that theorem and to [8] for an example of a general local limit theorem.

We now turn to our specific situation and consider \overline{M}_{2n} . (Using only even indices is purely for convenience; it assures that the mean value of \overline{M}_{2n} is an integer and

thus is taken on by \overline{M}_{2n} .) We apply our heuristics to $Y_n = \overline{M}_{2n} - n$. Since by Theorem 1 $\text{var}(\overline{M}_{2n}) \sim \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3\pi}n^{3/2}$, we expect $P(\overline{M}_{2n} = n + k)$ to satisfy

$$P(\overline{M}_{2n} = n + k) \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{k^2}{2\sigma_{2n}^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{2n}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{-\frac{3\pi k^2}{16\sqrt{2}n^{3/2}}}}{4\sqrt[4]{2}n^{3/4}}.$$

in a certain range of k 's. This is exactly what the next theorem asserts.

Theorem 2. *As long as $k = o(n)$, uniformly over k we have*

$$(2) \quad P(\overline{M}_{2n} = n + k) = \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{-\frac{3\pi k^2}{16\sqrt{2}n^{3/2}}}}{4\sqrt[4]{2}n^{3/4}} (1 + o(1)).$$

We propose a combinatorial setting of the problem and some combinatorial applications in Section 2. For example we will find the asymptotic value of the probability that two random partitions of n do not share a part as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Sections 3 and 4 using asymptotic and probabilistic techniques.

Our approach will be based on asymptotic methods that are ubiquitous in the analysis of algorithms and combinatorial structures [2, 19, 20, 26]. From the point of view of methods, technically the most difficult part of this work will be presented in Section 4 where we will asymptotically evaluate an alternating sum given in Proposition 1 below (a particular case of that sum appeared in [15] in the context of a pentagonal number sieve). Our approach is based on methods detailed in [16]; we will represent our sum as a double contour integral. Many other examples of such representations are given in [16] (see Chapter 5). However, the main focus of [16] is on how such representations can be used to obtain interesting combinatorial identities, and provides no information about asymptotic analysis of these expressions. Thus, it is not clear how such representations can be used to obtain useful asymptotic results. Our method of handling a double contour integral offers a practical solution that, we hope, will find applications elsewhere. The idea is straightforward: we will use the inner integral to obtain a “uniform” approximation in the region that gives the major contribution to the outer integral. In a sense, we will work out asymptotics twice in a row; once for the inner integral and then for the outer one. The desired asymptotic result follows by applying “traditional” asymptotic methods (in our specific case we will rely on the saddle point method).

2. COMBINATORIAL SETTING AND APPLICATIONS

From the definition of overpartitions, the following facts are obvious :

- There is a bijection between overpartitions of n whose weight of the overlined parts is ℓ and pairs of partitions of ℓ and $n - \ell$ where the first one is into distinct parts.
- There is a bijection between overpartitions of n whose weight of the overlined parts counted with multiplicity is ℓ and pairs of partitions of ℓ and $n - \ell$ that do not share a part.

The first bijection is as follows. Given an overpartition, we put all the overlined parts in one partition and the rest in the other partition. For example, starting with the overpartition $(8, 6, 6, 4, 4, \overline{4}, 2, \overline{1})$, we get $(4, 1)$ and $(8, 6, 6, 4, 4, 2)$.

The second bijection is as simple. Given an overpartition, we put all the copies of the overlined part sizes in one partition and the rest in the other partition. For

example, starting with the overpartition $(8, 6, 6, 4, 4, \bar{4}, 2, \bar{1})$, we get $(4, 4, 4, 1)$ and $(8, 6, 6, 2)$.

We will now interpret these two facts in a probabilistic context and exploit their consequences.

2.1. Overpartitions and pairs of partitions where the first one is into distinct parts. Let $d(n)$ (resp. $p(n)$) be the number of partitions into distinct parts (resp. ordinary partitions) of n . The first fact tells us that the number of overpartitions of n where the weight of the overlined parts is ℓ is equal to $d(\ell)p(n-\ell)$. Since, clearly,

$$\bar{p}(n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n d(\ell)p(n-\ell),$$

we can write

$$P(\bar{W}_n = \ell) = \frac{d(\ell)p(n-\ell)}{\bar{p}(n)}.$$

The asymptotic behaviors of $d(n)$, $p(n)$, and $\bar{p}(n)$ are well known, see [2, Chapter 6] for example. Since, by Lemma 1, the expected value of \bar{W}_n is about $n/3$, it makes sense to consider ℓ of the form $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor \pm k$. We can easily get the following local limit theorem :

Theorem 3. *For $k = o(n)$*

$$(3) \quad P(\bar{W}_n = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor \pm k) = \frac{3}{4n^{3/4}} \exp\left(\frac{-9\pi k^2}{16n^{3/2}}\right) (1 + o(1))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. According to a general theorem of Meinardus [2, Chapter 6] we have,

$$d(n) = \frac{1}{4n^{3/4}3^{1/4}} e^{\pi\sqrt{n/3}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2-\delta}}\right)\right),$$

$$(4) \quad p(n) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}n} e^{\pi\sqrt{2n/3}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right)\right),$$

$$(5) \quad \bar{p}(n) = \frac{1}{8n} e^{\pi\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right)\right).$$

When $k = o(n)$,

$$\frac{8n}{4(n/3 + k)^{3/4}3^{1/4}4\sqrt{3}(2n/3 - k)} = \frac{3}{4n^{3/4}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right),$$

and

$$\sqrt{(n/3 \pm k)/3} + \sqrt{2(2n/3 \pm k)/3} - \sqrt{n} = -\frac{9k^2}{16n^{3/2}} - \frac{27k^3}{64n^{5/2}} + O\left(\frac{k^4}{n^{7/2}}\right).$$

Then we get

$$\frac{d(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor + k)p(\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor - k)}{\bar{p}(n)} = \frac{3}{4n^{3/4}} \exp\left(\frac{-9k^2}{16n^{3/2}}\right) (1 + o(1)).$$

□

This result implies that

Corollary 1.

$$\text{var}(\bar{W}_n) \sim \frac{8n^{3/2}}{9\pi}.$$

2.2. Overpartitions and pairs of partitions that do not share a part. The second bijection described at the beginning of this section implies that the expected weight of the overlined parts counted with their multiplicity of an overpartition of n is $n/2$ as we can apply the bijection, switch the two partitions and apply the reverse bijection. This is equivalent to the following involution on overpartitions. Given an overpartition, list all its part sizes. Overline the ones that are non-overlined and remove the overline from the overlined parts. For example, starting with the overpartition $(8, 6, 6, 4, 4, \bar{4}, 2, \bar{1})$, we get $(\bar{8}, 6, \bar{6}, 4, 4, 4, \bar{2}, 1)$.

This second fact also implies a recursive formula for the number $\bar{p}(n, \ell)$ of overpartitions of n whose weight of the overlined parts counted with multiplicity is ℓ . The case $n = 2k$ and $\ell = k$ was treated in [15]. We apply the same sieve argument as in [15] and get that

Proposition 1.

$$\bar{p}(n, \ell) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j p\left(\ell - \frac{3j^2 + j}{2}\right) p\left(n - \ell - \frac{3j^2 + j}{2}\right).$$

In terms of generating functions we can write

$$\sum_{n \geq \ell} \bar{p}(n, \ell) q^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i) \sum_{n \geq \ell} p(\ell) p(n - \ell) q^n.$$

This corresponds to equation (2) in [15] which was a starting point for a proof of an analog of Proposition 1.

To set a stage for the proof of Theorem 2, we follow the same reasoning as in the previous subsection, except that we use the second bijection. First, it is clear that $\bar{p}(n, \ell) = \bar{p}(n, n - \ell)$, and that:

$$\bar{p}(2n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n} \bar{p}(2n, \ell) = \bar{p}(2n, n) + 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \bar{p}(2n, \ell).$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{P}(\overline{M}_{2n} = \ell) = \frac{\bar{p}(2n, \ell)}{\bar{p}(2n)}.$$

Since the asymptotic behavior of $\bar{p}(2n)$ is well-known (see (5)), we will focus on the numerator. Furthermore, since $\mathbf{E}\overline{M}_{2n} = n$ we will consider $\ell = n + k$ and in Section 4 below, we compute the asymptotic formula for $\bar{p}(2n, n + k)$ with $k = o(n)$. This formula is :

$$(6) \quad \bar{p}(2n, n + k) \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2^{25/4} n^{7/4}} e^{\pi \sqrt{2n}} e^{\frac{-3\pi k^2}{16\sqrt{2}n^{3/2}}},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In particular $\bar{p}(2n, n)$ is the number of pairs of partitions of n that do not share a part denoted by $p_2(n)$ in [15]. Thus,

$$\frac{\bar{p}(2n, n)}{p^2(n)},$$

is the probability that two randomly and independently chosen partitions of n do not share a part. We then just need to apply the asymptotic formula (4) for $p(n)$ and we get that :

Corollary 2. *The probability that two independently chosen random partitions of n do not share a part is asymptotically equivalent to :*

$$\frac{3\sqrt{3}n^{1/4}}{4 \cdot 2^{1/4}} e^{\pi\sqrt{2n}(1-2/\sqrt{3})}.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let m_j be a random variable that counts the multiplicity of part size j in a random overpartition of n . Thus, the constraint on the values of m_j is that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} jm_j = n.$$

It follows directly from the definition of overpartitions that the weight of overlined parts counted with multiplicity can be probabilistically represented as:

$$\overline{M}_n \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{j \geq 1} jm_j \varepsilon_j,$$

where “ $\stackrel{d}{=}$ ” denotes the equality in distribution, (ε_j) is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with values in $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying $P(\varepsilon_j = 0) = 1/2$, and furthermore the sequences (m_j) and (ε_j) are independent (basically, ε_j indicates whether the last occurrence of j is overlined or not). To find the asymptotics of the variance of \overline{M}_n we will use the following simple fact (its proof is short, elementary, and omitted; it uses only basic properties of the conditional expectations [7, Theorem 4.4.2]).

Lemma 3. *For any random variable X and a σ -algebra \mathcal{F} we have*

$$\text{var}(X) = E\text{var}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) + \text{var}(E(X|\mathcal{F})),$$

where $\text{var}_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = E((X - E(X|\mathcal{F}))^2|\mathcal{F})$ and $E(X|\mathcal{F})$ is the conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{F} .

Applying this to \overline{M}_n and $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{m_j : j \geq 1\}$ we see that

$$E(\overline{M}_n|\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \geq 1} jm_j = \frac{n}{2},$$

so that

$$\text{var}(E(\overline{M}_n|\mathcal{F})) = 0.$$

Further, by the \mathcal{F} -measurability of m_j 's and their independence of (ε_j) ,

$$\text{var}_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{M}_n) = \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 m_j^2 \text{var}(\varepsilon_j) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 m_j^2.$$

Hence,

$$\text{var}(\overline{M}_n) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 E m_j^2.$$

Lemma 4. *Let X be a random variable whose values are in the set of positive integers. Then*

$$EX^2 = \sum_{m \geq 1} (2m - 1)P(X \geq m).$$

Proof. We have:

$$\mathbb{E}X^2 = \sum_{m \geq 1} m^2 \mathbb{P}(X = m) = \sum_{m \geq 1} m^2 (\mathbb{P}(X \geq m) - \mathbb{P}(X \geq m+1)).$$

Changing the order of summation and shifting index by one gives the statement. \square

In order to proceed we recall that according to [11, Lemma 2.2]

$$(7) \quad \mathbb{P}(m_j \geq m) = \frac{2}{\bar{p}(n)} \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \bar{p}(n - (m+i)j).$$

Since (5) yields, for example

$$(8) \quad \frac{\bar{p}(n - ki)}{\bar{p}(n)} = \begin{cases} (1 + O(\frac{1}{n^{1/4}})) e^{-\frac{\pi ki}{2\sqrt{n}}}, & \text{if } ki \leq n^{3/4}, \\ O(e^{-\pi n^{1/4}/2}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

applying Lemma 4 to $X = m_j$ and using (7) and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}m_j^2 &= \sum_{m \geq 1} (2m-1) \mathbb{P}(m_j \geq m) \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 1} (2m-1) \cdot 2 \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \frac{\bar{p}(n - (m+i)j)}{\bar{p}(n)} \\ (9) \quad &\sim 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} (2m-1) \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{n}}(mj + ij)\right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} (2m-1) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi mj}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{n}}ij\right) \\ &= 2 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)}{\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)} \\ (10) \quad &= 2 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)}{\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the next to the last step we used the fact that for any $0 < q < 1$

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} (2m-1)q^m = \frac{q(1+q)}{(1-q)^2},$$

and step (9) will be justified momentarily. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{var}(\overline{M}_n) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 \mathbb{E} m_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \geq 1} j^2 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)}{\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x^2 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)}{\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi x}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^2} dx + O(n) \\
 (11) \quad &\sim \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2n^{1/2}}{\pi}\right)^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{y^2 \exp(-y)}{(1 - \exp(-y))^2} dy = \frac{4n^{3/2}}{\pi^3} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{3} = \frac{4n^{3/2}}{3\pi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step (9) is valid since the error incurred by applying (8) results in $(-1)^i$ in (9) being replaced by

$$(-1)^i + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/4}}\right),$$

which in turn results in (10) being replaced by

$$2 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)}{\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/4}}\right) \cdot \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)}{\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\pi j}{2\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^3}.$$

Consequently, the error in (11) is of order

$$n^{3/2-1/4} \int_{\pi/(2\sqrt{n})}^\infty \frac{y^2 e^{-y} (1 + e^{-y})}{(1 - \exp(-y))^3} dy = O\left(n^{5/4} \log n\right).$$

We refer the reader to [11] for more detailed presentation of similar calculations.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

As we explained earlier, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 2 it is enough to justify (6). In view of Proposition 1, this amounts to asymptotically evaluating the sum

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j p\left(n - k - \frac{3j^2 + j}{2}\right) p\left(n + k - \frac{3j^2 + j}{2}\right).$$

We begin by finding a generating function for $p(n - k)p(n + k)$ and our starting point is the well-known formula

$$f(x) := \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n) x^n = \prod_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - x^j)}, \text{ for } |x| < 1.$$

For all $|\xi| < 1$, we choose x with $|x| > 1$ such that $|x\xi| < 1$ and form

$$f(x\xi)f(x^{-1}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} p(n)p(m) \xi^n x^{n-m}.$$

Multiplying this equation by x^{2k-1} gives

$$x^{2k-1} f(x\xi)f(x^{-1}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq 0} p(n)p(m) \xi^n x^{n+2k-m-1}.$$

Term-by-term integration along the circle $C_1 : |x| = r > 1$ with $|r\xi| < 1$ is justified and we have

$$(12) \quad F_k(\xi) := \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n)p(n+2k)\xi^n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_1} x^{2k-1} f(x\xi) f(x^{-1}) dx,$$

for $|\xi| < 1$. By Cauchy residue theorem

$$p(n)p(n+2k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=\rho} \frac{F_k(\xi)}{\xi^{n+1}} d\xi,$$

or

$$(13) \quad p(n-k)p(n+k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=\rho} \frac{F_k(\xi)}{\xi^{n-k+1}} d\xi,$$

where ρ is any number less than 1 and $n \neq 0$. The precise value for ρ will become clear later. We now multiply (13) by $(-1)^j$, replace n by $n - \frac{3j^2+j}{2}$ and sum up with respect to j to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j p(n-k - \frac{3j^2+j}{2}) p(n+k - \frac{3j^2+j}{2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=\rho} \frac{F_k(\xi) (\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j \xi^{\frac{3j^2+j}{2}})}{\xi^{n-k+1}} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In deriving the above equation, because of absolute convergence, the interchange of integration and summation is justified. The convention that $p(n)$ is zero when n is negative is automatically fulfilled, for in equation (13) any such function p is zero by Cauchy integral theorem. We now use an identity (see for example [21, Theorem 353] or [3, Ch. III; Theorem 1.2 and Exercise 26]): for $|x| < 1$

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j x^{\frac{3j^2+j}{2}} = \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - x^j) = \frac{1}{f(x)}.$$

Substituting this into the last integral we get: with $\rho < 1$

$$(14) \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j p(n-k - \frac{3j^2+j}{2}) p(n+k - \frac{3j^2+j}{2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=\rho} \frac{F_k(\xi)}{f(\xi) \xi^{n-k+1}} d\xi.$$

In order to complete the argument, we will need to asymptotically evaluate this integral. Note that for positive ξ , $F_k(\xi)$ and $f(\xi)$ are also positive so that the integrand $\frac{F_k(\xi)}{f(\xi)}$ is a positive function with a strong singularity at $\xi = 1$. The major contribution to the integral (14) is expected to come from an immediate neighborhood of $\xi = 1$. Our analysis will be carried out in two steps. First, we use the integral representation (12) of $F_k(\xi)$ and a functional equation for $f(\xi)$ to determine the asymptotic behavior of the integrand near $\xi = 1$. We then use the saddle point method to analyze the integral (14). We will carry out the details in two separate subsections below, beginning with $F_k(\xi)$.

4.1. Asymptotics of the integrand. We are going to find the asymptotics for $F_k(\xi)$ as $\xi \rightarrow 1$ or equivalently for $F_k(e^{-2\pi s})$ as $s \rightarrow 0^+$ by applying the saddle point method to the integral in (12). Change of variables in (12) yields

$$(15) \quad F_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|x|=r<1, |\xi/x|<1} x^{-2k-1} f\left(\frac{\xi}{x}\right) f(x) dx.$$

Our next step will parallel a presentation of Ayoub [3, Ch. III, Sec. 2] who used a representation

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(x)}{x^{n+1}} dx,$$

to obtain the Hardy-Ramanujan result on the asymptotics of the partition function (Ayoub credits this approach to J. V. Uspensky). The basic idea is to use a functional equation for $f(z)$; writing z as $e^{-2\pi w}$, with $\operatorname{Re}(w) > 0$, we have (see e.g. [3, Ch. III; Theorem 3.2])

$$(16) \quad f(e^{-2\pi w}) = \sqrt{w} e^{\frac{\pi}{12}(\frac{1}{w}-w)} f(e^{-\frac{2\pi}{w}}).$$

Since $f(e^{-\frac{2\pi}{w}}) \rightarrow 1$ very rapidly as $w \rightarrow 0^+$, the behavior of $f(e^{-2\pi w})$ is dictated by $\sqrt{w} e^{\frac{\pi}{12}(\frac{1}{w}-w)}$. After estimating the error terms, one may replace the integrand in (15) by these new expressions. The resulting integral is much easier to evaluate and we will use the saddle point method to do this. We refer to [3] for more details on how $\sqrt{w} e^{\frac{\pi}{12}(\frac{1}{w}-w)}$ can be used in place of $f(e^{-2\pi w})$ and how to handle the error terms. We suppress these tedious estimations here and concentrate on the leading term.

Write $x = e^{-2\pi\tau}$ and $\xi = e^{-2\pi s}$, where both $\operatorname{Re}(\tau)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ are positive. The condition $|\xi/x| < 1$ on the contour in (15) translates into

$$\operatorname{Re}(s) > \operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0.$$

Use the variable τ as the integration variable to get

$$F_k(\xi) = F_k(e^{-2\pi s}) = (-i) \int_L e^{4\pi k\tau} f(e^{-2\pi\tau}) f(e^{-2\pi s} e^{2\pi\tau}) d\tau,$$

where the contour L is an upward vertical line segment with $\operatorname{Re}(L) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(L)$ is between $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. Here we have the freedom to vary $\operatorname{Re}(L) > 0$ as long as we keep it less than $\operatorname{Re}(s)$. In the sequel, it will become clear that in order to incorporate the uniformity of k , the contour L is chosen such that $\operatorname{Re}(L) \sim \operatorname{Re}(s)/2$. We now apply the functional equation (16) to $f(e^{-2\pi\tau})$ and $f(e^{-2\pi s} e^{2\pi\tau})$ and substitute the result into the last integral. We get

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(e^{-2\pi s}) &= (-i) \int_L \sqrt{\tau} \sqrt{s-\tau} e^{\frac{\pi}{12}[(\frac{1}{\tau}-\tau)+\frac{1}{s-\tau}-(s-\tau)]} e^{4\pi k\tau} f(e^{-2\pi/\tau}) f(e^{-2\pi/(s-\tau)}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since both $f(e^{-2\pi/\tau})$ and $f(e^{-2\pi/(s-\tau)}) \rightarrow 1$ rapidly as $s \rightarrow 0^+$, they can be dropped from the integrand without affecting the asymptotics.

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(e^{-2\pi s}) &\sim (-i) \int_L \sqrt{\tau} \sqrt{s-\tau} e^{\frac{\pi}{12}[(\frac{1}{\tau}-\tau)+\frac{1}{s-\tau}-(s-\tau)]} e^{4\pi k\tau} d\tau \\ (17) \quad &= (-i) e^{-\frac{\pi s}{12}} \int_L \sqrt{\tau} \sqrt{s-\tau} e^{\frac{\pi}{12}(\frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{1}{s-\tau}+48k\tau)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This is the integral where we start to apply the saddle point method. Note that, because of the form of the integrand in (17) the saddle point will depend on s as well as k . Define

$$h(\tau) := \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{s-\tau} + 48k\tau.$$

Set $h'(\tau) = 0$ and solve it for τ to get the saddle point:

$$h'(\tau) = \frac{-1}{\tau^2} + \frac{1}{(s-\tau)^2} + 48k = 0.$$

This implies that

$$-(s-\tau)^2 + \tau^2 + 48k\tau^2(s-\tau)^2 = 0.$$

To solve it for τ , let us write $\tau := su$ and proceed to determine the equation satisfied by u . The above equation is reduced to

$$(18) \quad 2u + 48(ks^2)u^2(1-u)^2 = 1.$$

This equation shows that the root u depends only on ks^2 . So let us treat $u = u(ks^2)$ as a function of ks^2 ; the saddle point in question is just su . We now assume that k is a parameter satisfying

$$ks^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0.$$

Later we will see that s is of $\Theta(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ and the assumption that $k = o(n)$ will validate the above equation. Having said this it is useful to point out the following:

- (i) As $ks^2 \rightarrow 0$, equation (18) has a unique positive root. This is the solution that is used in the saddle point method. Let this positive solution be denoted by u . We have further:
- (ii) $\lim_{ks^2 \rightarrow 0} u = \frac{1}{2}$.
- (iii) $u = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}(ks^2) + o(ks^2)$, as $ks^2 \rightarrow 0$.

We comment that (ii) and (iii) can be formally justified by “bootstrapping” from (18). Namely, setting $ks^2 = 0$ in (18) gives $u = 1/2$. Having obtained this we write $u = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and put this in (18) for further bootstrapping. We get

$$2\varepsilon + 48ks^2\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right)^2 = 0.$$

Since the linear term in ε must match $3ks^2$ we conclude that $\varepsilon = -\frac{3}{2}ks^2$ and

$$(19) \quad u = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}(ks^2) + o(ks^2).$$

Perform a change of variable $\tau = suv$ in (17) by taking v as the new integration variable. This gives

$$(20) \quad (-i)e^{-\frac{\pi s}{12}s^2u^{3/2}} \int_{L_1} \sqrt{v}\sqrt{1-uv}e^{\frac{\pi}{12s}(\frac{1}{uv} + \frac{1}{1-uv} + 48ks^2uv)} dv,$$

where L_1 is the corresponding line segment passing through the point 1, the saddle point for the above integral. Note that in equation (20) the parameter $\frac{\pi}{12s}$ is going to infinity and hence we can employ the standard saddle point method to find the asymptotic approximation. We again concentrate on the main term. Let

$$g(v) := \frac{1}{uv} + \frac{1}{1-uv} + 48ks^2uv.$$

We have (see e.g., [9, p. 93]) as $s \rightarrow 0^+$ and uniformly for those k with $ks^2 \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-i)e^{-\frac{\pi s}{12}} s^2 u^{3/2} \int_{L_1} \sqrt{v} \sqrt{1-uv} e^{\frac{\pi}{12s}(\frac{1}{uv} + \frac{1}{1-uv} + 48ks^2 uv)} dv \\
(21) \quad & \sim (-i)s^2 u^{3/2} \sqrt{1} \sqrt{1-ue^{\frac{\pi}{12s}g(1)}} \left(\frac{-2\pi}{(\frac{\pi}{12s})g''(1)} \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above is obtained by just letting $v = 1$ in the formula in the saddle point method. Note that

$$(22) \quad g(1) = \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{1-u} + 48ks^2 u, \quad g''(1) = \frac{2}{u} + \frac{2u^2}{(1-u)^3}.$$

Rewriting

$$g(1) = 4 + \frac{(2u-1)^2}{u(1-u)} + 48ks^2 u$$

and using

$$\frac{(2u-1)^2}{u(1-u)} = 36(ks^2)^2 + o(k^2 s^4) \quad \text{and} \quad 48ks^2 u = 48ks^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}ks^2 + o(ks^2) \right)$$

we get

$$(23) \quad g(1) = 4 + 24ks^2 - 36k^2 s^4 + o(k^2 s^4).$$

Inserting (23) and the second part of (22) into (21) yields:

$$(24) \quad F_k(e^{-2\pi s}) \sim s^{5/2} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} e^{\frac{\pi}{3}\frac{1}{s}} e^{2\pi ks - 3\pi k^2 s^3},$$

as $s \rightarrow 0^+$ in $|\arg s| < \frac{\pi}{6}$ and it holds uniformly for those k such that $ks^2 \rightarrow 0$. That s stays in the above mentioned angular region is required because the integration path L_1 must remain in the valley of steepest descent, a condition that can be easily guaranteed in the subsequent arguments.

4.2. Asymptotics of the sum (14). We now come back to (14):

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j p(n-k - \frac{3j^2+j}{2}) p(n+k - \frac{3j^2+j}{2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=\rho} \frac{F_k(\xi)}{f(\xi)\xi^{n-k+1}} d\xi,$$

where $\rho < 1$. Let $\xi = e^{-2\pi s}$, $Re(s) > 0$, and express the integral in the variable s

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|\xi|=\rho} \frac{F_k(\xi)}{f(\xi)\xi^{n-k+1}} d\xi = (-i) \int_{L_2} \frac{F_k(e^{-2\pi s}) e^{2\pi sn} e^{-2\pi sk}}{f(e^{-2\pi s})} ds,$$

where the only constraint on the contour L_2 is that $Re(L_2) > 0$ and $Im(L_2)$ is between $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. We choose $Re(L_2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n}}$ for the need of the saddle point method below. Again for large n the major contribution to the integral on the right comes from an immediate neighborhood of $s = 0$. We plug in the expression in (24) for $F_k(e^{-2\pi s})$, replace $f(e^{-2\pi s})$ by the expression from its functional equation (16) and infer that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L_2} \frac{F_k(e^{-2\pi s}) e^{2\pi sn} e^{-2\pi sk}}{f(e^{-2\pi s})} ds \sim \int_{L_2} \frac{(s^{5/2} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} e^{\frac{\pi}{3}\frac{1}{s}} e^{2\pi ks - 3\pi k^2 s^3}) e^{2\pi sn} e^{-2\pi sk}}{\sqrt{s} e^{\frac{\pi}{12}(\frac{1}{s}-s)}} ds \\
& \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \int_{L_2} s^2 e^{\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{1}{s} + 2\pi sn} e^{-3\pi k^2 s^3} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where the factor $e^{\frac{\pi s}{12}}$ is un harmfully dropped from the integrand. We now make a change of variable $s = \frac{t}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n}}$ to obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}n^{3/2}} \int_{L_3} t^2 e^{\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{n}(\frac{1}{t}+t)} e^{-\frac{3\pi}{16\sqrt{2}}\frac{t^3 k^2}{n^{3/2}}} dt,$$

where the contour L_3 passes the saddle point $t = 1$ because $Re(L_2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n}}$ by the previous choice. Since the above integral is in the standard form of the saddle point method, by inserting $t = 1$ in the saddle point method formula, we conclude that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it is asymptotic to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{1}{16\sqrt{2}n^{3/2}} 1^2 e^{\pi\sqrt{2}n} e^{-\frac{3\pi}{16\sqrt{2}}\frac{k^2}{n^{3/2}}} \sqrt{\frac{-2\pi}{(\frac{\pi\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}})2}} \\ &= i3^{1/2}2^{-25/4}n^{-7/4}e^{\pi\sqrt{2}n}e^{-\frac{3\pi}{16\sqrt{2}}\frac{k^2}{n^{3/2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting all of this together we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^j p(n-k-\frac{3j^2+j}{2}) p(n+k-\frac{3j^2+j}{2}) \\ & \sim 3^{1/2}2^{-25/4}n^{-7/4}e^{\pi\sqrt{2}n}e^{-\frac{3\pi}{16\sqrt{2}}\frac{k^2}{n^{3/2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotics holds uniformly for $k = o(n)$.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper we study the asymptotic properties of the weight of overlined parts in overpartitions. These results provide information about the asymptotic number of pairs of partitions which do not share a part and pairs of partitions where the first one is into distinct parts. This study could be generalized in the context of prefabs [5, 15]. Indeed in a prefab, objects are represented as a sequence of prime objects. Then we can define overprefabs where the last occurrence of a prime object can be overlined. Each object in an overprefab can be decomposed as a pair of objects that do not share a prime object or as a pair of objects where the first one is into distinct prime objects.

For example, it follows from results obtained here that $\bar{p}(2n, n)$, the number of pairs of partitions of n that do not share a part is asymptotically

$$\bar{p}(2n, n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} d(\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor) p(\lceil 4n/3 \rceil).$$

We think that such results hold for other prefabs.

Finally classical results relating coprime and square free objects are known for integers and monic polynomials over $GF(q)$. We will present an integrated approach to these ideas in [1].

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank J.P. Allouche for fruitful discussions and ideas.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.P. Allouche, S. Corteel, W.M.Y. Goh, and P. Hitczenko, *Relations between square-free and coprimes*, in preparation.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1984).
- [3] R. Ayoub, *An introduction to the analytic theory of numbers*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 10, American Mathematical Society (1963).
- [4] L. Bégin, J.F. Fortin, P. Jacob, and P. Mathieu, *Fermionic characters for graded parafermions*, Nuclear Phys. B 659 (2003), 365-386.
- [5] E.A. Bender and J.R. Goldman, *Enumerative uses of generating functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1971), 753-765.
- [6] C. Bessenrodt and I. Pak, *Partition congruences by involutions*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, 25 (2004), 1139-1149.
- [7] S. Casella and R. Berger, *Statistical Inference*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole (1990).
- [8] N.R. Chaganty and J. Sethuraman, *Strong large deviation and local limit theorems*, Ann. Probab. 21 (1993), 1671-1690.
- [9] E.T. Copson, *Asymptotic Expansions*, Cambridge University Press (1967).
- [10] S. Corteel, *Particle seas and basic hypergeometric series*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 31 (2003) no 1, 199-214.
- [11] S. Corteel and P. Hitczenko, *Multiplicity and number of parts in overpartitions*, Ann. Combinatorics, 8 (2004), 287-301.
- [12] S. Corteel and J. Lovejoy, *Frobenius partitions and the combinatorics of Ramanujan's $1\psi_1$ summation*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 97 (2002), 179-183.
- [13] S. Corteel and J. Lovejoy, *Overpartitions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356 (2004), 1623-1635.
- [14] S. Corteel, J. Lovejoy, and A.J. Yee, *Overpartitions and generating functions for generalized Frobenius partitions*, Mathematics and Computer Science III, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser (2004), 15-24.
- [15] S. Corteel, C.D. Savage, H.S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *A pentagonal number sieve*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 82 (1998), no. 2, 186-192.
- [16] G.P. Egorychev, *Integral Representation and the Computation of Combinatorial Sums*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1984).
- [17] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability and Its Applications*, Vol.I, 3rd Ed., Wiley (1968).
- [18] J.F. Fortin, P. Jacob, and P. Mathieu, *Jagged partitions*, preprint. arXiv:math.CO/0310079.
- [19] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *An introduction to the analysis of algorithms*, Addison-Wesley (1998).
- [20] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *Analytic combinatorics*, Zeroth ed., 3rd printing, (2005) <http://algo.inria.fr/flajolet/Publications/AnaCombi1to9.pdf>
- [21] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th ed., Oxford (1960).
- [22] J. Lovejoy, *Gordon's theorem for overpartitions*, J. of Combin. Theory Ser. A, 103 (2003), 393-401.
- [23] J. Lovejoy, *Overpartitions and real quadratic fields*, J. Number Theory, 106 (2004), 178-186.
- [24] J. Lovejoy, *Overpartition theorems of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 69 (2004), 562-574.
- [25] A.N. Shiryaev, *Probability*, 2nd Ed., Springer (1996).
- [26] W. Szpankowski, *Average case analysis of algorithms on sequences*, Wiley (2001).
- [27] A.J. Yee, *Combinatorial proofs of Ramanujan's $1\psi_1$ summation and the q -Gauss summation*, J. Combin. Theory Series A, 105 (2004) 63-77.

CNRS PRISM, UVSQ, VERSAILLES, FRANCE
E-mail address: `syl@prism.uvsq.fr`

DREXEL UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, USA
E-mail address: `wgoh@mcs.drexel.edu`

DREXEL UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, USA
E-mail address: `phitczenko@mcs.drexel.edu`